COMMITTEE MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

SPECIAL WASTE COMMITTEE

JOE SERNA, JR., CALEPA BUILDING

1001 I STREET

2ND FLOOR

COASTAL HEARING ROOM

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2006

10:00 A.M.

TIFFANY C. KRAFT, CSR, RPR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 12277

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

ii

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- Ms. Margo Reid Brown, Chair
- Ms. Rosalie Mul
- Mr. Gary Petersen

BOARD MEMBER ALSO PRESENT

Ms. Patricia Wiggins

STAFF

- Mr. Mark Leary, Executive Director
- Ms. Julie Nauman, Chief Deputy Director
- Mr. Elliot Block, Staff Counsel
- Ms. Kristen Garner, Executive Assistant
- Mr. Jim Lee, Deputy Director
- Mr. Tom Micka, Staff
- Ms. Elena Yates, Staff
- Ms. Kristin Yee, Supervisor, Used Oil Recycling Analysis

ALSO PRESENT

Mr. Terry Leveille, TL & Associates

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

iii

INDEX

		PAGE
	Roll Call And Declaration Of Quorum	1
	Public Comment	2
Α.	Deputy Director's Report	11
В.	Discussion And Request For Rulemaking Direction On Noticing Proposed Revisions To The Regulations For Household Hazardous Waste Forms CIWMB 303a and 303b For An Additional Comment Period Or Consideration Of Adoption Of The Propos Regulations For Household Hazardous Waste Forms CIWMB 303a And 303b (September Board Item 11)	13 sed
C.	Consideration Of Grant Awards For The Targeted Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Incentive Grant Program (Tire Recycling Management Fund, FY 2006/07) (September Board Item 12) Motion Vote	19 21 21
D.	Adjournment	21
 Е.	Reporter's Certificate	22
	neporter b certificate	

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

1

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Good morning. Welcome to the September 7th meeting of Special Waste Committee. There 3 4 are agendas on the back table. If anyone would like to 5 speak on an item, there are speaker slips on the back 6 table as well. And please bring them up to Kristen, and 7 you'll have an opportunity to address the Committee. 8 I'd like to also remind people to turn your cell phones to the vibrate mode and pagers as well. If you 9 intend to speak, I would request that you please turn them 10 11 off. Kristen, can you please call the roll? 12 COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Mulé? 13 14 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Here. COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Petersen? 15 COMMITTEE MEMBER PETERSEN: Here. 16 COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Brown? 17 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Here. 18 And I would like to recognize Board Member Pat 19 Wiggins who is joining us. 20 21 And now we have -- is there any public comment at 22 this time? 23 Oh, ex partes. Does anybody have any ex partes? COMMITTEE MEMBER PETERSEN: I ex parte Terry 24

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

25

Leveille who said hi.

2

1 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: You don't have to ex parte a 2 hi. 3 COMMITTEE MEMBER PETERSEN: Okay. 4 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: But you can anyway. 5 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Up to date, Madam Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Gary says hi. 7 Terry. 8 MR. LEVEILLE: Madam Chair and Committee members and Board member, this is Terry Leveille representing TL & 9 10 Associates. 11 And EMC Recycling down in San Bernardino, the issue today really revolves around its tire-derived 12 13 product grant program. As you know, September 15th is the 14 deadline for applications for the local governments to try to put in for funding for various tire-derived products. 15 The criteria this year as outlined in the early 16 application was that you had to have a product that cost 17 less than seven dollars per passenger tire equivalent 18 19 diverted or less than five dollars per passenger tire diverted to be in the upper echelon to get priority 20 21 ranking. 22 About a month ago in a public setting during the waste tire interested parties meeting, there was a 23 clarification by staff. And it was from my perspective a 24

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

proper clarification in that a product could cost more

- 1 than seven dollars per passenger tire equivalent diverted
- 2 as long as a city or county was willing to cover those
- 3 costs. And that issue has come up a couple of times in
- 4 discussions in public. It's come up -- it's been written
- 5 about in California Tire Report, which I know you all
- 6 read. And just about a week or so ago, we were informed
- 7 the stakeholders, the tire-derived product manufacturers
- 8 were informed, that this may not be the case anymore.
- 9 That if their product costs over seven dollars per
- 10 passenger tire equivalent that that product would be no
- 11 longer eligible for funding under the grant program.
- 12 Now, we haven't seen anything on the Board's
- 13 website. We haven't been notified that this is indeed the
- 14 case. But if it is the case -- and maybe there can be
- 15 some clarification today. If it is the case, representing
- 16 EMC and other tire-derived product manufacturers, the
- 17 costs that they have incurred over the last year in fuel
- 18 costs and crumb rubber costs and everything basically
- 19 eliminates them from qualifying for this type of grant
- 20 program.
- 21 So we're talking about playground covers, not
- 22 pour-in-place, but playground mats. We're talking about
- 23 rubberized sidewalks. We're talking about running tracks.
- 24 We're talking about most of the molded rubber products
- 25 that we're dealing with. What we're going to find if

- 1 indeed this interpretation has helped you is that the only
- 2 types of tire rubber grants that are going to be allowed
- 3 are those for loose fill, for quarter-inch, three-quarter
- 4 inch crumb that's loosely filled in landscaping
- 5 applications or in playground in lieu of sawdust and sand
- 6 and that type of thing. But the molded rubber products
- 7 themselves generally are going to cost more than the seven
- 8 dollar limit.
- 9 And I just wanted to convey this to you and to
- 10 say at this point we haven't been informed whether or not
- 11 this is indeed the case that the new criteria is going to
- 12 take effect. But this late in the game almost just about
- 13 a week before the deadline for the applications I know a
- 14 number of tire product manufacturer companies are very
- 15 concerned that the local governments that have contacted
- 16 them for various types of products are going to find that
- 17 their products don't qualify anymore. Anyway, I thought
- 18 you should be aware of this issue. And that, as I say,
- 19 possibly there could be some more clarification.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you. I know that this
- 21 Committee in August had a very lengthy discussion about
- 22 the PTE per reimbursement, very lengthy discussion about
- 23 the five dollars versus seven dollars. I don't know,
- 24 Elliot, can we ask questions? What --
- 25 STAFF COUNSEL BLOCK: Basically, pursuant to the

- 1 Bagley-Keene open meeting law, when something is brought
- 2 up under the public comment portion so a particular item
- 3 hasn't been specifically noticed, the Board is limited.
- 4 They're not really allowed to have a substantive detailed
- 5 discussion about the issue or even to try to decide
- 6 something. If there's some brief clarifying question you
- 7 wanted to ask of the commentor, you can do that. Although
- 8 I think what Terry said was fairly straightforward.
- 9 And/or your other options involve directing your Executive
- 10 Director to look into it and get back to you and/or
- 11 scheduling the matter for the next available Committee
- 12 meeting.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Do we have the latitude for
- 14 staff to answer questions?
- 15 STAFF COUNSEL BLOCK: If there's a technical
- 16 question, no or yes question that's just the facts, you
- 17 can ask a couple of those, yes.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Does anybody have any
- 19 questions?
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Jim, I was just wondering
- 21 if you can just give us a history of the cost per tire and
- 22 how it's been reduced over the years?
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: Yes, I can, Ms. Mulé.
- 24 At one time, the tire-derived product is indeed
- 25 an evolution of the tire commercialization program among

- 1 others and the playground and track programs that we had
- 2 in previous years. We had instances in some of those
- 3 earlier iterations of the grant program where we had
- 4 basically a dollars grant subsidy per tire produced is 80
- 5 to \$100 or more.
- 6 Starting with the last revision of the Five-Year
- 7 Plan in 2005, the Board indicated strong preference for
- 8 ratcheting down the grant subsidy that was involved in
- 9 these programs. To that end, I think we first considered
- 10 a \$15 cap. Then we reduced that to 10. There was
- 11 discussion this year whether it should be seven. And
- 12 ultimately the Board decided on five.
- 13 Also again in mentioning the Five-Year Plan
- 14 discussion, you know, there was some discussion in 2005
- 15 about whether or not the Board even wanted to continue to
- 16 participate in the program at all, given the long history
- 17 and the large number of dollars that have been expended on
- 18 this particular program. Again, I think the Board
- 19 indicated that, yes, they did heed the stakeholders'
- 20 comments that many of them felt that grant support was
- 21 still necessary in the initial stages, the beginning
- 22 stages of some of these businesses, but that ultimately
- 23 the Board did express its desire to ultimately continue to
- 24 diminish grant support so that the projects were stand
- 25 alone.

7

COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Thank you. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: My recollection is that this program was heavily oversubscribed last year. And that 3 4 was our discussion last month that because that was the 5 case we were trying to get it to a market driven program; 6 is that correct? 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: That is correct. It was heavily oversubscribed. I think it was -- the original 8 allocation for 05-06 I think was less than two million. I 9 10 think the Board ended up spending over four. So again, it was a concern that again we start to limit or reduce the 11 amount of grant support in this particular area. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Any other questions? 14 At this time all we can do is take your comments under advisement. We cannot discuss any of the other 15 issues that you've raised, Terry, at this time because it 16 hasn't been noticed by Bagley-Keene. So if there is an 17 issue, we are stuck noticing it for next month. 18 19 If, Jim, this program and the applications were delayed a month, what would be the consequences of that? 20 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: Madam Chair, that's one of 22 the things staff has under consideration right now in light of some of the concerns that Mr. Leveille and others 23

have brought up, brought to our attention earlier. So I

think I can say that the question and answers that have

24

- 1 been raised during the grant application period will be
- 2 available very shortly and that we are giving very strong
- 3 consideration to extending the deadline for the
- 4 applications.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 MR. LEVEILLE: Can I just make one more
- 7 statement? And I appreciate Mr. Lee's comments and going
- 8 over the background.
- 9 What we seem to have here by going down per PTE,
- 10 the dollars per PTE, and it's a laudable goal. But what
- 11 has happened I believe is that we've gotten down so low
- 12 that we're looking at possibly only funding kind of the
- 13 lower end echelon of the tire rubber products, the stuff
- 14 that really doesn't require much more than just crumbing
- 15 or purchasing from a product manufacturer and doesn't
- 16 require the more costly and difficult and possibly more
- 17 sophisticated products that are made from molds and the
- 18 additions of polymers and that type of thing. And I think
- 19 that there needs to be some understanding that the higher
- 20 end products are the ones that are probably going to be
- 21 the -- as far as the Tire Program, are going to be the
- 22 ones that we're going to look to to substitute for other
- 23 types of products. And they have the best likelihood of
- 24 being real successes.
- 25 And so I think while the goal was laudable to

- 1 keep it under, you know, keep it down and the cost down,
- 2 it would seem to me that it would be, you know, sensible
- 3 to give the opportunity for local governments to buy into
- 4 this. And certainly it doesn't go against the goals of
- 5 the Board if they were to buy down a portion of that cost
- 6 and find out how good a product it is.
- 7 So you know, my suggestion would be to, if I
- 8 could make that suggestion, would be to delay the deadline
- 9 for the application period and do some thinking about how
- 10 you want to promote the type of tire-derived products that
- 11 are really going to make a difference ultimately. Thank
- 12 you.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: I appreciate your comments,
- 14 Terry. I don't recall any comments during this discussion
- 15 last month when this was specifically discussed. And we
- 16 specifically addressed the five and the seven dollars and
- 17 had a very lengthy discussion with staff about what the
- 18 consequences of that would be. If there's nuances to the
- 19 way it's come through, we will refer that to staff to look
- 20 at.
- 21 But as far as the passenger tire equivalent
- 22 subsidy or, you know, the amount we pay per tire, that was
- 23 discussed. And none of the issues you've raised today
- 24 were raised at the meeting last month. And that's when
- 25 the issue was before this Committee and referred to the

- 1 Board. So the Board has made the decision about what the
- 2 tire passenger equivalent reimbursement cost will be per
- 3 tire.
- 4 MR. LEVEILLE: And that isn't the issue that I've
- 5 been raising, really. It's the question as to whether or
- 6 not a local jurisdiction can pay the difference between
- 7 that seven dollars and whatever the product might cost.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Since that wasn't noticed, we
- 9 can't discuss that. We'll refer that to Mr. Lee to look
- 10 at, whether that would enhance the program.
- 11 MR. LEVEILLE: That was the understanding of
- 12 product manufacturers since the waste tire interested
- 13 parties meeting at that time, because I specifically asked
- 14 that question. And staff gave the answer was that yes a
- 15 local jurisdiction could buy down the cost. And then, of
- 16 course, the Board would only be responsible for seven
- 17 dollars.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: I think that's how you get a
- 19 market driven program. Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Lee, your Deputy Director's report.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER WIGGINS: I have a question.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: I'm sorry.
- 23 BOARD MEMBER WIGGINS: On this issue, I wasn't at
- 24 this Committee meeting when this was discussed. But did
- 25 everybody here understand that by not allowing the cities

- 1 and counties to subsidize we wouldn't be granting funds
- 2 for sidewalks and tracks?
- 3 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: That actually is
- 4 Mr. Leveille's interpretation. That is not actually
- 5 indeed the way the program necessarily will be run. He
- 6 has raised a question about what he perceives as an
- 7 unintended consequence of our moving the reimbursement
- 8 from seven to five. And there is a tiered program that we
- 9 discussed last month was that we wanted to give priority
- 10 to those jurisdictions who had not yet had a grant to try
- 11 to enhance the grant program to new applicants. And so we
- 12 created and discussed the tiering of new applicants and
- 13 applicants who were doing programs at five dollars per
- 14 tire and then at seven dollars and returning grantees at
- 15 five dollars and seven dollars.
- So what Mr. Leveille has done is raised a nuance
- 17 or unintended consequence that we can refer to Jim but we
- 18 can't discuss in this meeting. We'd have to bring it up
- 19 as an agenda item next month.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER WIGGINS: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you, though.
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 23 Good morning, Board members. My name is Jim Lee, Deputy
- 24 Director of the Special Waste Division.
- 25 Madam Chair, I just have one item on my Deputy

- 1 Director's report. It's a follow up to a question that
- 2 was asked of staff at the last Board meeting relative to
- 3 the city of L.A.'s participation or lack of same in our
- 4 RAC programs.
- 5 In response to Board Member Peace's and others
- 6 request to approach the city of Los Angeles about their
- 7 RAC use, my staff has met with Ogilvy last week to begin
- 8 coordinating a RAC outreach meeting with key staff from
- 9 the city of L.A. that is proposed for sometime towards the
- 10 end of September. The city has historically been
- 11 reluctant to use RAC due to their perception that RAC
- 12 cannot be easily recycled.
- 13 The Board's RAC contractor, MACTEC, has recently
- 14 conducted a study for Caltrans that concluded that it is
- 15 feasible to recycle RAC. We will be sending the city of
- 16 L.A. a copy of the study and will include this as one of
- 17 the topics in our discussion with them at our upcoming
- 18 meeting.
- 19 Madam Chair, that's the only item I have in my
- 20 Deputy Director's report, unless there's any questions or
- 21 comments. And then I'm prepared to move into today's
- 22 agenda.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Any questions?
- Okay. Move to Committee Item B, Board Item 11.
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

- 1 Committee Item B is Discussion and Request for
- 2 Rulemaking Direction on Noticing Proposed Revisions to the
- 3 Regulations for Household Hazardous Waste Forms, CIWMB
- 4 303a and 303b For an Additional Comment Period or
- 5 Consideration of Adoption of Proposed Regulations for
- 6 Household Hazardous Waste Forms CIWMB 303a and 303b. A
- 7 title that's certainly a mouthful, Madam Chair.
- 8 We are attempting to accomplish two goals with
- 9 this, an either/or situation. Depending on the results
- 10 that we received during the last public comment period, we
- 11 were prepared either to recommend approval of the
- 12 regulations or to request the Board hold them open for an
- 13 additional 15-day period.
- 14 As my staff will get into with you in a minute,
- 15 there was a germane comment that came in during the last
- 16 comment period we felt was worthy of consideration and for
- 17 making a modest change in the form that we were proposing.
- 18 So to that end, I think the option we'll be recommending
- 19 to the Board is to extend for 15 days.
- 20 I'll now ask Tom Micka of the Board staff to give
- 21 you additional background of the Form 303 process and
- 22 indeed exactly what the changes are that we are requesting
- 23 of the public review.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you. If you think
- 25 that's a tongue twister, you should have been here for

- 1 Lorraine's presentation of her items yesterday at Gary's
- 2 Committee. I think that was a lengthier one, but thank
- 3 you.
- 4 Tom.
- 5 MR. MICKA: Good morning. My name is Tom Micka.
- 6 The Board directed staff at the February 2004
- 7 Board meeting to draft regulations and proceed with a
- 8 rulemaking process to revise the household hazardous waste
- 9 form CIWMB 303 in order to reduce the amount of paperwork
- 10 necessary to complete the form and to revise and make
- 11 additions to the categories of household hazardous waste
- 12 being reported such as electronic waste and universal
- 13 waste. Updating the form is essential to obtaining and
- 14 maintaining complete records of HHW volumes collected
- 15 statewide.
- 16 To that end, staff in consultation with
- 17 stakeholders proposed regulatory changes to Form 303 and
- 18 the associated regulations. Based on comments received
- 19 during a 45-day comment period and staff input, proposed
- 20 changes to Form 303 were noticed for a 15-day comment
- 21 period running from August 17th to September 1st. Those
- 22 proposed changes are discussed in the agenda item.
- 23 I'd like to briefly note one of those changes.
- 24 Form 303 has now been replaced by Forms 303a and 303b.
- 25 Form 303a is essentially the same as Form 303, minus

- 1 Section G and entitled non-lead agency information.
- 2 Section G has now become Form 303b. The words "lead
- 3 agency" have been added to the title of Form 303a and the
- 4 words "non-lead agency" have been added to the title of
- 5 Form 303b. These changes should make it easier for
- 6 jurisdictions to determine which form they must complete.
- 7 Now I'd like to discuss additional proposed
- 8 changes that were prompted by comments received during the
- 9 15-day comment period that ended September 1st. Staff
- 10 felt that these comments were germane and that the changes
- 11 would improve the forms for the stakeholders. There are
- 12 essentially three comments and changes being proposed for
- 13 Forms 303a and 303b.
- 14 The first comment stated that the present version
- 15 of Forms 303a and b require that universal waste be
- 16 reported by lead agencies on Form 303a. However,
- 17 communities that have non-lead agency status may collect
- 18 universal waste at local cleanup and/or recycling events.
- 19 Data collected from these events may not be made available
- 20 to lead agency or lead HHW program staff and therefore not
- 21 reported on Form 303a. To fix this problem, staff
- 22 proposes to add universal waste to Form 303b.
- 23 The second comment suggested a change regarding
- 24 the reporting of aerosol containers. Under universal
- 25 waste, the title "empty aerosol containers" will replace

- 1 the existing title of "non-empty aerosol containers." And
- 2 under other household hazardous waste, a new category will
- 3 be entitled "non-universal waste aerosol containers
- 4 corrosive, flammable, and poison." The reason for this
- 5 change is that gasses that are corrosive, poisonous, or
- 6 flammable cannot be recycled under universal waste.
- 7 The third comment suggested that rechargable
- 8 batteries should be distinguished from other batteries
- 9 under universal waste because they may be recycled.
- 10 Therefore, staff proposes a new category of rechargeable
- 11 batteries.
- 12 Because these proposed changes will require an
- 13 additional comment period, staff recommends the Committee
- 14 direct staff to make recommended revisions to the proposed
- 15 regulations and notice the revisions for a 15-day public
- 16 comment period. This is Option Number 2 in the agenda
- 17 item. Staff plans on returning to the Board in October
- 18 hopefully recommending adoption of the proposed
- 19 regulations.
- This concludes staff's presentation.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you, Tom.
- Does anybody have any questions?
- 23 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Madam Chair, thank you.
- Tom, on this form I think it's 303a, under
- 25 universal waste, you've got your mercury containing

- 1 thermostats, mercury containing waste, and then lamps. By
- 2 that, we mean florescent lamps, florescent bulbs? I see
- 3 Kristin nodding yes. Okay.
- 4 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: I'm just wondering if we
- 5 want to clarify that, unless you can explain why we have
- 6 lamps instead of bulbs.
- 7 SUPERVISOR YEE: We can make that change and
- 8 clarify it more and just put florescent bulbs.
- 9 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: I can just see people --
- 10 COMMITTEE MEMBER PETERSEN: Giving you lamps.
- 11 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: I know -- I mean, I'm
- 12 maybe carrying this a little far. But you just never
- 13 know.
- 14 And then under e-waste, we have our CEDs and then
- 15 we have universal waste electronic devices. Have we
- 16 defined what those are?
- 17 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: You mean has DTSC defined
- 18 them yet.
- 19 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: That's my question, I
- 20 guess. Do we have a list of what that includes? What
- 21 is --
- 22 SUPERVISOR YEE: It's not delineated really
- 23 clear. But we do have an instructions sheet for the
- 24 individuals who are filling this out. And if there are
- 25 questions, they can always call Mary Misemer who will

- 1 clarify it for them.
- 2 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Okay. All right. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Any other questions?
- 5 Since we've made a change from the dais to the
- 6 questionnaire, I think it would probably be appropriate
- 7 for us to recommend Option B, which is to grant the
- 8 additional 15-day comment period for the changes that were
- 9 requested by the jurisdictions as well as the ones
- 10 requested by Member Mulé. Do we have any objection to
- 11 that from the dais? Okay. Then do I have a motion?
- 12 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Madam Chair, I'd like to
- 13 move Resolution 2006-165 as revised as you just stated.
- 14 STAFF COUNSEL BLOCK: Excuse me, Madam Chair.
- 15 Since this is just you're going to direct staff to send
- 16 this out for 15-day notice, you don't actually have to
- 17 adopt the resolution which is for actual adoption. It was
- 18 in the item just in case the choice today was to adopt.
- 19 But since it's just direction to go out for 15-day notice,
- 20 the Chair after consultation with her Committee members
- 21 can give that direction.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Of course. You're right.
- 23 Thank you. As Legal just interpreted, we will direct
- 24 staff to go out for an additional 15-day comment period.
- 25 Thank you.

- 1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Committee Item C, please.
- 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEE: Committee Item C is
- 4 Consideration of Grant Awards for the Targeted Rubberized
- 5 Asphalt Concrete Incentive Grant Program.
- 6 Madam Chair, I'm very pleased to be bringing this
- 7 item forward to you today. In fact, this program has been
- 8 very successful I think largely due to the efforts of my
- 9 staff working in concert with the Board's consultants and
- 10 contractors. Indeed, the projects that we'll be bringing
- 11 forward for the Board's approval today, if the Board does
- 12 approve them all, we will have essentially exhausted our
- 13 fiscal year 06-07 allocation, and we're three months into
- 14 the year. But we think we are going into the
- 15 traditionally slow period for construction projects, we,
- 16 like I say, am pleased with that result.
- 17 I also wanted to reassure the Board that we will
- 18 be coming to them in October with plans to request the
- 19 Board's allocation of the five dollars million in BCP
- 20 money that we got in this year's budget. And we would
- 21 expect that a large -- one of our recommendations would be
- 22 to use a significant amount of that money for this
- 23 targeted RAC program.
- 24 So again with that overview, I now ask Elena
- 25 Yates, who is sitting in for Nate Gauff, who is out

- 1 beating the bushes for more customers today, she'll make
- 2 the staff presentation.
- 3 MS. YATES: Good morning, Madam Chair and Board
- 4 members. I'm Elena Yates with the Special Waste Division.
- 5 Today, I'll present staff's recommendation for
- 6 this month's award for the Targeted Rubberized Asphalt
- 7 Concrete Incentive Grant Program. Staff received five
- 8 eligible applicants for a total of \$825,000. The
- 9 applicants are: City of Cloverdale, funding
- 10 recommendation \$175,000; City of Fowler, funding
- 11 recommendation \$175,000; City of Selma, funding
- 12 recommendation \$175,000; City of Arvin, funding
- 13 recommendation \$150,000; and the City of Orange, funding
- 14 recommendation \$150,000.
- 15 Staff recommends the Board approve the proposed
- 16 awards and adopt Resolution 2006-166. That concludes my
- 17 presentation.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you, Elena.
- 19 Do we have any questions?
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: No questions. But I want
- 21 to congratulate specifically Nate who's not here. He's
- 22 become our best marketer out there.
- 23 Also, wasn't Arvin one of the cities that we had
- 24 fined a few years ago for not meeting their 939
- 25 objectives?

1	STAFF COUNSEL BLOCK: That is correct.
2	COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: So I'm very pleased to
3	see that they're taking us seriously and completing the
4	loop by using recycled content products. So with that,
5	I'd like to move Resolution 2006-166 revised.
6	COMMITTEE MEMBER PETERSEN: I'll second that.
7	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: It's been moved by Member
8	Mulé and seconded by Member Petersen.
9	Call the roll.
10	COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Mulé?
11	COMMITTEE MEMBER MULÉ: Aye.
12	COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Petersen?
13	COMMITTEE MEMBER PETERSEN: Aye.
14	COMMITTEE SECRETARY GARNER: Brown?
15	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Aye. And we can put that or
16	fiscal consent.
17	That concludes our agenda. Do we have any other
18	items for discussion? This meeting is adjourned. Thank
19	you.
20	(Thereupon the California Integrated Waste
21	Management Board, Special Waste Committee
22	adjourned at 10:36 a.m.)
23	
24	
25	

22 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, TIFFANY C. KRAFT, a Certified Shorthand 2 Reporter of the State of California, and Registered 3 4 Professional Reporter, do hereby certify: 5 That I am a disinterested person herein; that the 6 foregoing hearing was reported in shorthand by me, 7 Tiffany C. Kraft, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the 8 State of California, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting. 9 10 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 11 attorney for any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing. 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 13 14 this 14th day September, 2006. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 TIFFANY C. KRAFT, CSR, RPR 24 Certified Shorthand Reporter License No. 12277 25